G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1910.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 422 – 10

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Papers Filed.

Month May 1911

SUBJECT.

Dispute between the Avani matt and the Pushpagere matt regarding ecclesiastical / over the Bagepalli Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

M. Venkatasubbaiah
Recorder

(2

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inzgnai)

NOTES.

File No. 422/10

FILE HEADING.

Dispute between the Areaw matt and the Pushpagiri matt regarding the ecclesiastical jurisdiction over the Bagepalle Taluk.

From

The Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist

No. 6798/224 Dated 15-2-1911 Received

Diary No. 1413 | Enclos 17file Spare Copies - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests to be favored with any standing order regarding the claims of the two matts.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Areaw matt in the Mulbagal Dy. is a Inzgnai instn. Pushpagiri matt though situated in the Cuddapa Dist, is said to be endowed with Inam lands in Bagepalle Taluk, and thus seems to be a Inzgnai instn

Rama Rao 17.4.11

NOTES—First sheet

GPB--30,000 3-1-07

(2

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 422 of 1910

Serial No.

A dispute having arisen between the two matts as to who is entitled to exercise the ecclesiastical jurisdiction over the Bageepalli Taluk, the matter came up before the local authorities, and has enquired into. The Dy Commr's. report goes into the merits of the case.

The Sub Divl officer Mr. Anandappa Sirsi in his report no 1218 Dy 6th June 1910 (Hagy) states that if the matter is one for decision in the Munzrai Deptt, it may be taken up as Revn case and gone into and doubts if the case can be admitted by Govt and adds that his opinion is that the parties may be refd to civil courts.

(Paper under disposal) The Dy. Commr requests to be favored with any standing order regarding the claims of the two matts now disputing to enable suitable order being issued to the Amildar in the matter.

It is respectfully submitted that there have not been any precedents to show that such questions came up before Govt in the Munzrai Deptt and decided upon.

Submitted for orders

AM 13/2

24. 2. 1911

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1/22 of 1910

Serial No. 550

Submitted to Secretariat

I do not think this is a matter which Govt or even a Civil Court can settle. It is for the Swami and disciples to settle.

If any body in the Bageshri Taluk should commit such a sin as would entail excommunication I say the Swami after regular enquiry excommunicated him and requested Govt. to take notice of such excommunication in accordance with Govt Order No 7708-16 dated 27-2-1889 & No 1-12-92 and if then the party pleaded that this Court had no jurisdiction we may then be asked upon to decide the matter.

Even then we might say that, should there be a difference of opinion between the Mahs, we would not take notice of either Mahs' decision

Dont say in that there are no standing orders

Submitted to Secretariat etc.

Abdul Hameed

Flag A)
Printed copies put up

4/3/1911
7/3/1911

2013/2/1911

1656.

I am not sure that the Civil Courts have no jurisdiction. We may inform the Mathas concerned that the dispute is one in which Govt will not interfere, and that it should be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

141

File No. 442 of 19

Serial No.

settled accg to the Consent of the Sishyas Concerned or the decision of Competent Civil Courts.

13/3/11

570

Returned to Secl Committee

The reference is only from the DC Court & not from the Matts. If the Sishya may apply to the DC Court that there are no standing orders in the matter he will desist of the Matt application as he thinks best. We need not at this stage communicate directly with the Matthas.

15.3.1911

~~Endorsement~~

No reply need there be given to the Matthas. The reply may be sent to DC. alone.

16/3/11

117

10673

22.3.11

Note—Contn. sheet.

The Dy Coll may be informed that

GPB—50 000—12-8 16

24/3/1911

Serial No.

The enclosed in Persian and Kanjia in Kannada received from Sub Coll Malavalli - 14th / 15th May 11

draft Sale of Mutton orders. Rules for approval to 2nd Council (79) 185 5/1911 7/11

Draft approved by 2nd Council 18/5/11 20/5/11 MCS

Issue 18/5/11

To

2 The Dy. Commr., Kolar Dt.--

No. 3035 dt. 26.5.1911

Intimates that the dispute, in its present stage, is not one in which the Govt. will interfere

5/6/11 3/6/11

GPB—55,6.11—27-5-11.

D 1413

Mis 1200 422-10-1

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Sec'y/

To The Secretary to the Govt
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore Govt of
Departments (Excise Branch)

Dated 15th February 1911.

Despatched

Received

General No. 6798

No. 227

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting for orders of Government the representation of Sri Arasu Matt, Mulbagal Taluk regarding his jurisdiction in the Bagepalli Taluk as against that of Sri Puskpagiri Matt in the Cuddapah District.

C.W. Submit note
Sd
18/2/11

18/2/1911

GPE—75 reams—25 5-10

6798/227
1 file.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated Kolar, 15th February 1911.

From

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar, Esqr., B.A. B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.&.Rev. Departments.
(Muzrai Branch) Bangalore.

Sir

I have the honor to state that the Agent of the Sri Avani Matt, Mulbagal Taluk, on 12.2.1902 petitioned the Sub-Divisional Officer, Chikballapur, alleging that though the ecclesiastical jurisdiction over the Bagepalli Taluk belonged to the said matt, the Swamigalu of the Sri Pushpagiri Matt in the Cuddapah District used to receive kanikes &c, from the devotees of this Taluk and prayed for orders prohibiting the latter matt from doing so within the said

The Amildar of the Bagepalli Taluk the above petition was referred for

for report obtained some copies of documentary evidence from both the Matts in support of their claims and submitted the same to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Chikballapur who in forwarding the whole correspondence to this Office for orders, states that the documents produced by the Sri Avani Matt, consisting of Takids, Nirupas &c, granted by the late Faujdar and Dewan Purnaiya shew that this Matt exercised jurisdiction over the Taluk, while those produced by the other matt being only letters of gift- (Dânapatrikes) granted by private people cannot have preferential right to receive the Kanikes &c. As this is a subject relating to the jurisdiction of matts, I request that you may be so good as to favour me with any standing order regarding the claims of the two matts now disputing to enable suitable order being issued to the Amildar in the matter

3. The Vernacular file of correspondence ending with Chikballapur Sub-Division Officer's yadi no: 1218 dated 5th June 1910 is herewith submitted

for

3 for kind reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

B Rosarkumar
Jt Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 422-1/2

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 1413

No. 3035 - En 1/16
Mis 7.422 (0-2
Dy 26/5/1911.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190

To

The Deputy Commr.
Kolar District

Date of issue

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 6798 dated the 15th ~~February~~ 227 1911, submitting for orders of Govt, the representation of Sri Avani chetti, in the Mulbagal Taluk, regarding ~~the~~ claim to exercise ~~his~~ jurisdiction in the Bagepalli Taluk as against ~~that of~~ the Sri Purushapura chetti

over. in

SUBJECT.

5.

in the Cuddapah
Dist., I am directed
to inform you that
the dispute in its
present stage and
shape is not one
in which the Govt.
will intervene.

The records
received with
the above are
herewith returned

I have &c

for
18/5/11
For Offg Secy

List of enclosures,
20/5/11

M Dy
(79) 185
1911

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08